```
1
         IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
4
    W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
5
    capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
    OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
6
    OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
    ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
7
    in his capacity as the
    TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
    FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
9
                 Plaintiff,
10
    vs.
                                   ) 4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
11
    TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                 Defendants.
13
14
                      THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15
    CHRISTOPHER TEAF, PhD, produced as a witness on
16
    behalf of the Defendants in the above styled and
17
    numbered cause, taken on the 31st day of January,
18
    2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State
19
    of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a
20
    Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under
21
    and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
     words that get you.
 2
            Yes, sir.
 3
            Isn't and or -- well, I meant or and I'm glad
 4
     we got that clarified. An indicator organism by
 5
     itself is not necessarily pathogenic, is it?
                                                                     01:19PM
 6
           Not necessarily, but it can be.
 7
            And if you have high indicator or high amounts
     of indicator organisms, do you believe that's a red
 8
     flag to check for pathogens?
 9
10
            It's a red flag that pathogens may exist
                                                                     01:20PM
11
     there, and it's, I think, very well recognized as
12
     that.
13
            Were tests done in this case in the watershed
     looking for identifying Campylobacter, Salmonella,
14
     either one?
15
                                                                     01:20PM
           Both at times, yes.
16
17
           And was it found?
            I don't recall that -- well, there were some
18
19
     very high numbers found early on, but I believe that
20
     those data were ultimately -- we elected to not use
                                                                     01:20PM
21
     those data because the analytical profile wasn't
22
     proper for them. So I think that it was originally
     sampled for, but I don't recall that they were
23
     found.
24
25
            Where was the initial sampling that resulted
                                                                     01:20PM
```